

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF RAILWAYS

yic

Unified Braking Scheme – A common initiative of Xrail and UIC

07 April 2022







green LINEAS





In line with the 4th railway package, RUs are creating a proposal for common braking rules





Develop one single European braking scheme



Align (& if possible, simplify) existing national / RU-specific braking rule sets, including:

- Agreement on a unified braking sheet
- Braked weight calculation
- Train composition / brake position rules



Reduce retardation of trains and administration efforts at borders while keeping same level of safety

Further RUs beyond the members of the Xrail alliance have joined the Unified Braking Scheme initiative

Xrail RCG,

Xrail members CFLC, DBC, Green Cargo, Lineas, RCG, SBBC, & Fret SNCF participating



Non-Xrail RUs Mercitalia, TX Logistik, Lokomotion, PKP Cargo, & CD Cargo participating



Xrail RU subsidiaries involved



rail

The 4th railway package allowed RUs to define own braking rules → enabling them to commonly set internationally harmonized rules

- As of March 2019, Xrail took over the lead of the Unified Braking Scheme (UBS) project
- The project involves RU experts of 17 different RUs / RU subsidiaries and is executed with UIC support in close alignment with DG Move & ERA
- Current achievements to be finalized 2022



Xrail members CFLC, DBC, Green Cargo, Lineas, RCG, SBBC, & Fret SNCF participating Non-Xrail RUs: Mercitalia, TX Logistik, Lokomotion, PKP Cargo & CD Cargo participating Xrail RU subsidiaries involved



(rail

Based on recent study results, heavier / faster SWL trains possible now as empty wagons are no longer excluded from P-trains >1600t

BRAKE POSITION RULES

- Basic ruleset aligned & reflected in ERA AMOC & UIC IRS 40421*
- 2nd TrainDY study results allow rule simplification for P-trains > 1600 t*
- Potential 3rd study on articulated wagons in discussion

BRAKE SHEET / WAGON LIST

- "Int. brake sheet / wagon list" aligned and published within ERA AMOC*, publication in IRS 472 in progress at UIC
- Available in multiple languages and ready for RU implementation (e.g. at DBC NL by May 2022)

BRAKE % CALCULATION

- Harmonization only possible with ETCS, but IMs currently misuse correction factors to align with legacy system logic causing interoperability barriers
- Potential DMI** change needs on locomotives are under discussion with RUs



1. Issuing RU		in number	3. Depart				-	-		-	-				
					6.	Train prof	file:								
4a. Valid from station 4b. 1			Valid to station			7. V _{max} , km/h:									
Train parame								_							
8. Remarks du	uring the journ	16y			9. Spec	ial feature	is of the	train							
10. Danger		train	16a. Valid I			Valid to st			/alid from			id to station			
train			17a. # of fi	rst wago	n 18a.	# of last w	agon	17c. i	f of first wa	agon	18c. # of last wagon				
12. Addition	hal documents	s about	a	b		+b		с	d		c+d				
13. Waste shipments in train			Active		Vagons and inactive ocomotives		Total		Active locomotives		s and ive ptives	Total			
19. Count, pcs															
20. Length, m															
21. Hand brak	e holding for	ce, t/kN													
22. Braked we															
23. Gross wei															
14. Required classificat		e setting			ble brake %				24. Av						
	OP OP+LL		2	5. Requi	red brake %	i:		_	25. Re						
	OR				ing brake %		26. Missing brake %:								
			27. % of t	by cas	eight brake t iron blocks	d		27.	% of brake by (
Active locom		in ¹		-											
28. Seq.	29. Number		30. 31 Class # (ax)		32. Length over buffers, m	33. Gross weight, kg	34. Brake block type	Brake 55 position 55	36. Braked weight, t		37 Remi				
1															
2															
3			-				<u> </u>	-		-					
5								-							
								_							
38. Date of issue 39. Time 41. Date of review 42. Time					ssued by (n				4.Remark						
41. Date of re-	41. Late of review 42. Time				43. Reviewed by (name, signature)						44.Kemark Page 1 of				

Wagon list (wagons and inactive locomotives)

5.	46.		47.	48.	49.	50.	51.	5		53.		54.		55.	56.	57.	58.	59.
q.	Number		axles	Length over	of load,	Gross weight,	ock	Bra weig	Braked Har weight, t bra					nent	Destination	÷	fine tion	Remarks
			# of axl	buffers, m	kg	kg	Brake block type	Ρ	G	holding force, t / kN	UN No	Hazard No	Danger Label	Exceptional consignment		V _{max} , km/h	Required line classification	
_																		
		TOTAL:										·			П т	he list	continu	ues on the next page
_															_			
D	ate of issue	61. Tim	e of is	sue	62. Issue	d by (nam	ie, sian	ature)										

63. Date of review	64. Time of review	65. Reviewed by (name, signature)	66. Remark

v1.1, October 2020 - created by Xrail / UIC Unified Braking Scheme workgroup - feedback at operations@xrail.eu

Page _ of _

National differences in rules are not justified, unless it can be proven that local circumstances lead to higher in-train forces



		P-brake	d trains		G-braked trains				
Wagon rake weight (excl. active locos)	Brake position of leading active locomotives	Brake position of the first vehicles thereafter and their count	Brake position of all following vehicles	What if required brake position not possible?	Brake position of all vehicles	Maximum allowance of brake position P	Allowance of unbraked vehicles		
0800 t	Р	Р	Р			12 axles, for the rest brakes are to be turned off if brake position G is not possible	Any train may have up to 3 consecutive unbraked wagons, but the first and last		
8011200 t	G	Р	Р	Turn brakes off	G				
12011600 t	G	5 x G	Р						
16012300 t	G	7 x G*	Р				wagon of the wagon rake must have		
23014000 t		No harmonization**					active brakes		

* Articulated vehicles not allowed

** Harmonization not possible due to lack of evidence that can prove acceptable safety levels. Individual countries may still apply their own rules to allow such trains.

The proposal is currently being reviewed by UIC working group in order to publish the rules in IRS 40421 (former leaflet 421), update of the TSI OPE AMOC also planned

Harmonization of braked weight calculation cannot be achieved by RUs alone – ERA / DG Move were asked to take the lead



Brake performance cannot be evaluated RU-individually based on RINF data as brake % is an input value for signalling systems

Harmonization is only achievable if IMs and NSAs agree to adjust regulations and related signalling systems

Harmonization of brake calculation by introducing ETCS is only possible if IMs refrain from misusing the configuration factors – currently a common practice to ensure ETCS matches with legacy signalling rules

As harmonization is oriented towards international traffic, it is still possible to nationally apply (less restrictive) rules

3

European signalling systems do not consider brake application delays in a uniform manner – three major philosophies exist



Please note!

The braked weight calculation methods within clusters are not fully harmonized but the effort to do so is assumed to be low.

- Cluster A: no train length-based deductions from braked weight
- Cluster B: length-based deductions from braked weight apply
- Cluster C: length-based deductions from braked weight apply, additional deductions for intermodal and G-braked trains

What still needs to be done to reach harmonization?

3

4

5

6



Finalize publication of harmonized brake sheet (IRS 40472) and brake position rules that are already aligned (TSI OPE AMOC and IRS 40421 update)

Analyse how to enable P-trains >2300 t and how to ease restrictions on articulated wagons in the common rule-set

Fully harmonize within clusters A and B, merge cluster C to cluster B

Align internationally on ETCS Train Categories that must be used for freight trains

Raise awareness at RUs that ETCS OBU DMI installations must support individual brake % input for ETCS and class B systems – the STM enables that

Raise awareness at IMs that the current misuse of correction factors to align ETCS with legacy signalling hampers interoperability and should be revised

